

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/722,681	11/25/2003	Matthew B. Shoemake	TI-35728	8351
23494 . 759	90 11/17/2006	EXAMINER		
TEXAS INST	RUMENTS INCORPO	DAO, MINH D		
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2618	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/722,681	SHOEMAKE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MINH D. DAO	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	<del></del>					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the f	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Awater etal. (US 7,046,649).

Regarding claim 1, Awater teaches a dual platform communication controller for use with a wireless communication system (see fig. 1), comprising:

A signal interpreter coupled to said wireless communication system and configured to recognize a first signal packet based on a first communication standard and a second signal packet based on a second communication standard (see figs. 1 and 2;col. 3, line 10 to col. 4, line 60); and a traffic manager coupled to said signal interpreter and configured to provide a deterministic time-sharing between said first and second signal packets within said wireless communication system (see figs. 1 and 2; col. 5, line 15 to col. 6, line 57). The control means and the Interoperability device 106 of Awater read on the signal interpreter and the traffic manager of the present invention.

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 2, Awater teaches the controller as recited in claim 1 wherein said first communication standard is configured to be IEEE 802.11 (see figs. 1 and 2;col. 3,

line 10 to col. 4, line 60).

Regarding claim 3, Awater teaches the controller as recited in claim 1 wherein said

second communication standard is configured to be Bluetooth (see figs. 1 and 2;col. 3,

line 10 to col. 4, line 60).

Regarding claim 4, Awater teaches the controller as recited in claim 1 wherein said

traffic manager is configured to provide said deterministic time-sharing between

said first and second signal packets based on a real-time requirement (see figs. 1 and

2;col. 3, line 10 to col. 4, line 60).

Regarding claim 5, Awater teaches the controller as recited in claim 1 wherein said

traffic manager is configured to provide said deterministic time-sharing between said

first and second signal packets based on a period of time (see figs. 1 and 2;col. 3, line

10 to col. 4, line 60).

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 6, Awater teaches the controller as recited in claim 1 wherein said traffic manager is configured to provide said deterministic time-sharing between said first and second signal packets by inhibiting a transmission capability of at least one of said first and second signal packets (see figs. 1 and 2;col. 3, line 10 to col.

4, line 60; also see col. 5, line 15 to col. 6, line 57).

Regarding claim 7, Awater teaches that the controller as recited in claim 1 wherein said traffic manager is further configured to operate in a default state having a listening mode and a standby mode (see col. 1, line 51 to col. 2, line 13). Sine Awater teaches a CSMA/CA (Carrier Sense Multiple Access with the use of Collision Avoidance) that is well known in the art to "listen-before-talk", therefore this teaching of Awater inherently reads on the above limitation of the present invention.

Regarding claim 8, the claim includes the limitations as that of claim 1, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 1.

Regarding claim 9, the claim includes the limitations as that of claim 2, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 2.

Regarding claim 10, the claim includes the limitations as that of claim 3, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 3.

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim11, the claim includes the limitations as that of claim 4, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 4.

Regarding claim 12, the claim includes the limitations as that of claim 5, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 5.

Regarding claim 13, the claim includes the limitations as that of claim 6, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 6.

Regarding claim 14, the claim includes the limitations as that of claim 7, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 7.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MINH D. DAO whose telephone number is 571-272-7851. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MATTHEW ANDERSON can be reached on 571-272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,681

Art Unit: 2618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Minh Dao MD AU 2618 Matthew Anderson Superviser AU 2618 Page 6